

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bact 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,155	05/15/2002	Arild Follestad	613-55	1492	
75	90 01/11/2005		EXAMINER		
ARC:eaw 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor			LU, C CAIXIA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington,, VA 22201-4714			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/048,155	FOLLESTAD ET AL	•			
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Caixia Lu	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office finely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:			¥ .			
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		ayier Lu	·			
		Caixia Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same rationale as set forth in the previous Office action mailed Oct. 20, 2004. Applicants' showing of unexpected results is irrelevant because the bis(methylcyclopentaienyl) containing zirconocene is not cited in the rejections of record.